

Jeffrey C. Park, Esq. (SBN 151747)  
Hemant R. Habbu, Esq. (SBN 161437)  
HABBU & PARK INC.  
95 S. Market Street, Suite 530  
San Jose, California 95113  
Tel. (408) 993-9577  
Fax (408) 881-0456

Attorneys for Defendants Juventino Rodriguez,  
Martha V. Rodriguez, and Eileen Rodriguez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

FRANCISCO MUÑOZ MARQUEZ; ) CASE No.: CV-08-1702 HRL  
LUIS A. VIDAL, )  
Plaintiffs, )  
v. )  
JUVENTINO RODRIGUEZ; ROBERT )  
JAMES RODRIGUEZ; CHRISTINA )  
CAMARILLO; MARTHA V. )  
RODRIGUEZ; EILEEN RODRIGUEZ; )  
AND J&E HVAC, INC., )  
Defendants. )

Defendant, EILEEN RODRIGUEZ (“DEFENDANT”), hereby answers the complaint of Francisco Munoz Marquez and Luis A. Vidal (“COMPLAINT”) as follows.

1. DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 1 of the COMPLAINT.
  2. DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 2(a) of the COMPLAINT.
  3. DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 2(b) of the COMPLAINT.
  4. DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 2(c) of the COMPLAINT.

1       **5.** DEFENDANT admits that the Plaintiffs were over 18 years old at all relevant times and  
2 denies each and every other allegation in paragraph 5 of the COMPLAINT.

3       **6.** DEFENDANT admits that defendants worked in Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz, and  
4 Santa Clara Counties. DEFENDANT denies each and every other allegation in paragraph 7 of the  
5 COMPLAINT.

6       **7.** DEFENDANT admits each and every allegation in paragraph 8 of the COMPLAINT.

7       **8.** DEFENDANT admits each and every allegation in paragraph 9 of the COMPLAINT.

8       **9.** DEFENDANT admits each and every allegation in paragraph 10 of the COMPLAINT.

9       **10.** DEFENDANT admits all allegations in paragraph 11 of the COMPLAINT.

10      **11.** DEFENDANT admits that Defendant, EILEEN RODRIGUEZ, was an officer of V-Fab, Inc.,  
11 which is now a dissolved corporation and denies each and every other allegation in paragraph 12 of  
12 the COMPLAINT.

13      **12.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
14 the allegation that ROBERT JAMES RODRIGUEZ and CHRISTINA CAMARILLO are officers of  
15 the corporate Defendants and on that basis denies this allegation. DEFENDANT denies each and  
16 every other allegation in paragraph 13 of the COMPLAINT.

17      **13.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 14 of the COMPLAINT.

18      **14.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
19 the allegation that all defendants are in common control of a same group of people and on that basis  
20 denies this allegation. DEFENDANT denies each and every other allegation in paragraph 15 of the  
21 COMPLAINT.

22      **15.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 16 of the COMPLAINT.

23      **16.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 17 of the COMPLAINT.

24      **17.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 18 of the COMPLAINT.

25      **18.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 19 of the COMPLAINT.

26      **19.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 20 of the COMPLAINT.

27      **20.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 21 of the COMPLAINT.

28      **21.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 22 of the COMPLAINT.

29      **22.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 23 of the COMPLAINT.

30      **23.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 24 of the COMPLAINT.

31      **24.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 25 of the COMPLAINT.

32      **25.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 26 of the COMPLAINT.

1           **26.** DEFENDANT incorporates her prior responses to each and every allegation in paragraphs 1-  
2 26 concerning the allegations in paragraph 27.

3           **27.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 28 of the COMPLAINT.

4           **28.** DEFENDANT denies that she was an “Enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production  
5 of goods for commerce” pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §203(r) & (s). DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or  
6 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 29 as to the other  
7 defendants and on that basis denies such allegations.

8           **29.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 30 of the COMPLAINT.

9           **30.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 31 of the COMPLAINT.

10          **31.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 32 of the COMPLAINT.

11          **32.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 33 of the COMPLAINT.

12          **33.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 34 of the COMPLAINT.

13          **34.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
14 the allegations in paragraph 35 and on this basis denies the allegations in paragraph 35 of the  
15 COMPLAINT.

16          **35.** DEFENDANT incorporates her prior responses to each and every allegation in paragraphs 1-  
17 35 concerning the allegations in paragraph 36.

18          **36.** DEFENDANT denies that Plaintiffs were employed in execution of the PROJECTS which  
19 were subject to prevailing wage law pursuant to CA Labor Code §§1771, 1774.

20          **37.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 38 of the COMPLAINT.

21          **38.** DEFENDANT admits that to the extent she employed people on private construction projects  
22 she was subject to Labor Code §510. DEFENDANT denies that she employed Plaintiffs and each  
23 and every other allegation in paragraph 39 of the COMPLAINT.

24          **39.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 40 of the COMPLAINT.

25          **40.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 41 of the COMPLAINT.

26          **41.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 42 of the COMPLAINT.

27          **42.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
28 the allegations in paragraph 43 and on this basis denies the allegations in paragraph 43 of the  
COMPLAINT.

29          **43.** DEFENDANT incorporates her prior responses to each and every allegation in paragraphs 1-  
30 43 concerning the allegations in paragraph 44.

31          **44.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 45 of the COMPLAINT.

1           **45.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 46 of the COMPLAINT.  
2           **46.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 47 of the COMPLAINT.  
3           **47.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 48 of the COMPLAINT.  
4           **48.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 49 of the COMPLAINT.  
5           **49.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 50 of the COMPLAINT.  
6           **50.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 51 of the COMPLAINT.  
7           **51.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 52 of the COMPLAINT.  
8           **52.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 53 of the COMPLAINT.  
9           **53.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
10          the allegation that Plaintiffs, including Opt-In Consenter, have incurred, and will continue to incur  
11          attorney fees in the prosecution of this action as identified in paragraph 54 of the COMPLAINT and  
12          on this basis denies such allegations. DEFENDANT denies each and every other allegation in  
13          paragraph 54 of the COMPLAINT.  
14           **54.** DEFENDANT incorporates her prior responses to each and every allegation in paragraphs 1-  
15          54 concerning the allegations in paragraph 55.  
16           **55.** DEFENDANT admits the allegations in paragraph 56.  
17           **56.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 57 of the COMPLAINT.  
18           **57.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 58 of the COMPLAINT.  
19           **58.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
20          the allegations in paragraph 59 of the COMPLAINT and on this basis denies the allegations in  
21          paragraph 59 of the COMPLAINT.  
22           **59.** DEFENDANT incorporates her prior responses to each and every allegation in paragraphs 1-  
23          59 concerning the allegations in paragraph 60.  
24           **60.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 61 of the COMPLAINT.  
25           **61.** DEFENDANT denies each and every implied factual allegation in paragraph 62 of the  
26          COMPLAINT.  
27           **62.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 63 of the COMPLAINT.  
28           **63.** DEFENDANT incorporates her prior responses to each and every allegation in paragraphs 1-  
29          63 concerning the allegations in paragraph 64.  
30           **64.** DEFENDANT denies that she was subject to the laws identified in this paragraph 65 of the  
31          COMPLAINT. DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

1 truth of whether the other defendants were subject to the laws stated in this paragraph 65 and on that  
 2 basis denies such allegations.

3 **65.** DEFENDANT denies that she was subject to CA Business and Professions Code §17200 et  
 4 seq. and the other laws identified in paragraph 66 of the COMPLAINT. DEFENDANT lacks  
 5 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of whether the other defendants  
 6 were subject to the laws stated in paragraph 66 and on that basis denies such allegations.  
 7 DEFENDANT denies each and every other allegation in paragraph 66 of the COMPLAINT.

8 **66.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 67 of the COMPLAINT.

9 **67.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 68 of the COMPLAINT.

10 **68.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 69 of the COMPLAINT.

11 **69.** DEFENDANT denies each and every allegation in paragraph 70 of the COMPLAINT.

12 **70.** DEFENDANT lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of  
 13 the allegations in paragraph 71 of the COMPLAINT and on this basis denies the allegations in  
 14 paragraph 71 of the COMPLAINT.

15 **71.** DEFENDANT denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to recover any damages or relief or costs or  
 16 attorneys' fees whatsoever.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

17 This DEFENDANT denies that she is responsible for any damages that Plaintiffs allegedly  
 18 incurred or that Plaintiffs were damaged in any amount or at all by DEFENDANT's conduct or  
 19 omission.

##### **72. First Affirmative Defense – Not an Employer**

20 As a first and separate affirmative defense to all causes of action and counts, DEFENDANT  
 21 alleges that this DEFENDANT never employed Plaintiffs in any capacity during the relevant time  
 22 period and as such was not Plaintiffs' employer under federal or California law.

##### **73. Second Affirmative Defense – Not Liable for Corporate Acts/Omissions.**

23 As a second and separate affirmative defense to all causes of action and counts, DEFENDANT  
 24 alleges that this DEFENDANT cannot be held liable for the acts of a corporation and is not liable for  
 25 any of the counts and claims in the COMPLAINT.

##### **74. Third Affirmative Defense – Failure to State a Cause of Action.**

26 As a third and separate affirmative defense, DEFENDANT alleges that the COMPLAINT and  
 27 every cause of action and count therein fail to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action or  
 28 count against DEFENDANT.

1           **75. Fourth Affirmative Defense – Statute of Limitations.**

2           As a fourth and separate affirmative defense, DEFENDANT alleges that the COMPLAINT and  
3 every cause of action and count therein is barred by the appropriate statute of limitations, which  
4 include, but are not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure §337, §338(a), §339, §340, 29  
5 U.S.C. §255, and CA Business and Professions Code §17208.  
6

7           **76. Fifth Affirmative Defense – Good Faith**

8           As a fifth and separate affirmative defense to all causes of action and counts, DEFENDANT  
9 alleges that DEFENDANT's actions were all taken in good faith, were appropriate under the  
10 circumstances, and were proper and legal.

11           **77. Sixth Affirmative Defense – Contribution**

12           As a sixth and separate affirmative defense to all causes of action and counts, DEFENDANT  
13 alleges that if Plaintiffs have suffered or will suffer any damages or harm, those damages or harm are  
14 a result of their own conduct/omission or the conduct/omission of another party, and not the result of  
15 any conduct by this DEFENDANT.  
16

17           **78. Seventh Affirmative Defense – Plaintiffs Took Rest Breaks and Meal Periods**

18           As a seventh and separate affirmative defense to the sixth count and related claims of missed rest  
19 breaks and meal periods, DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiffs had the opportunity to take and  
20 actually took required rest breaks and meal periods.  
21

22           **79. Eighth Affirmative Defense – No Standing**

23           As an eighth and separate affirmative defense to the sixth count, DEFENDANT alleges that  
24 Plaintiffs lack standing to seek disgorgement of profits or restitution.  
25  
26 \\\  
27 \\\  
28 \\\

1           **80. Ninth Affirmative Defense – No Statutory Violations**

2           As a ninth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that  
3 DEFENDANT has taken no action and made no omission that violated or sought to violate any  
4 statutory right relating to Plaintiffs.

5           **81. Tenth Affirmative Defense – Unclean Hands**

6           As a tenth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiffs'  
7 counts and prayer for relief are barred by unclean hands.

8           **82. Eleventh Affirmative Defense – Waiver**

9           As an eleventh and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that  
10 Plaintiffs' counts and prayer for relief are barred by waiver.

11           **83. Twelfth Affirmative Defense – Estoppel**

12           As a twelfth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that Plaintiffs'  
13 counts and prayer for relief are barred by estoppel.

14           **84. Thirteenth Affirmative Defense – Release**

15           As a thirteenth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that  
16 Plaintiffs released DEFENDANT of all liability based on their own conduct.

17           **85. Fourteenth Affirmative Defense – Consent**

18           As a fourteenth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that  
19 Plaintiffs consented to DEFENDANT's actions and conduct and therefore DEFENDANT is not  
20 liable.

21           **86. Fifteenth Affirmative Defense – Justification**

22           As a fifteenth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DEFENDANT alleges that all of  
23 this DEFENDANT's conduct or omissions were justified under the circumstances.

24           \\\\\\

1           **87. Sixteenth Affirmative Defense – Good Faith Belief No Wages Owed at Termination**

2           As a sixteenth and separate affirmative defense to count five, DEFENDANT alleges that  
3 DEFENDANT had and has a good faith belief that DEFENDANT owed no wages to Plaintiffs at the  
4 time their employment ended pursuant to CA Labor Code §201.

5           **88. Seventeenth Affirmative Defense – Good Faith Belief re: Liquidated Damages**

6           As a seventeenth and separate affirmative defense to count two, DEFENDANT alleges that  
7 DEFENDANT's acts or omissions were in good faith and DEFENDANT had no reasonable grounds  
8 for believing that DEFENDANT's acts or omission violated any CA or federal law related to  
9 minimum wages or overtime payment.

10           **89. Eighteenth Affirmative Defense – Mitigation of Damages**

11           As an eighteenth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DFENDANT alleges that  
12 Plaintiffs failed to mitigate damages.

13           **90. Nineteenth Affirmative Defense – Equitable Indemnity**

14           As a nineteenth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DFENDANT alleges that any and  
15 all injury alleged in the COMPLAINT was the result of a duty or obligation owed by another party to  
16 indemnify this Defendant.

17           **91. Twentieth Affirmative Defense – Payment**

18           As a twentieth and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DFENDANT alleges that Plaintiffs  
19 have been paid for all wages.

20           **92. Twenty-first Affirmative Defense – Laches**

21           As a twenty-first and separate affirmative defense to all counts, DFENDANT alleges that this  
22 DEFENDANT will suffer prejudice due to Plaintiffs' delay in bringing this action.

23           **93. Twenty-second Affirmative Defense – Defendant's Conduct was Fair and Lawful**

24           As a twenty-second and separate affirmative defense to Plaintiff's sixth count, DFENDANT  
25 alleges that this DEFENDANT's conduct was fair and lawful.

DEFENDANT has not yet completed its investigation and /or discovery of all facts and circumstances related to the subject matter of Plaintiffs' COMPLAINT, and therefore DEFENDANT reserves the right to amend, modify, revise or supplement this Answer, and to plead such other and further affirmative defenses as it may deem proper and necessary in its defense of this action.

## **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, this answering DEFENDANT prays for judgment in her favor as follows:

1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of their COMPLAINT;
  2. For attorneys' fees under CA Labor Code §218.5;
  3. For costs of suit herein; and
  4. For such other and further relief as this court deems just and proper.

Habbu & Park Inc.

/S/  
Jeff Park, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendant, Eileen Rodriguez